

TOWN OF COTTESLOE



WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE

MINUTES

**MAYOR'S PARLOUR, COTTESLOE CIVIC CENTRE
109 BROOME STREET, COTTESLOE
6.00 PM, TUESDAY, 21 OCTOBER, 2014**

CARL ASKEW
Chief Executive Officer

27 October 2014

DISCLAIMER

No responsibility whatsoever is implied or accepted by the Town for any act, omission, statement or intimation occurring during council meetings.

The Town of Cottesloe disclaims any liability for any loss whatsoever and howsoever caused arising out of reliance by any person or legal entity on any such act, omission, statement or intimation occurring during council meetings.

Any person or legal entity who acts or fails to act in reliance upon any statement, act or omission made in a council meeting does so at that person's or legal entity's own risk.

In particular and without derogating in any way from the broad disclaimer above, in any discussion regarding any planning application or application for a licence, any statement or intimation of approval made by any member or officer of the Town of Cottesloe during the course of any meeting is not intended to be and is not taken as notice of approval from the Town.

The Town of Cottesloe wishes to advise that any plans or documents contained within the agenda or minutes may be subject to copyright law provisions (*Copyright Act 1968*, as amended) and that the express permission of the copyright owner(s) should be sought prior to their reproduction.

Members of the public should note that no action should be taken on any application or item discussed at a council meeting prior to written advice on the resolution of council being received.

Agenda and minutes are available on the Town's website www.cottesloe.wa.gov.au

WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE NO
1	DECLARATION OF MEETING OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS.....	3
2	DISCLAIMER	3
3	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION	3
4	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	3
	4.1 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE.....	3
	4.2 PUBLIC QUESTIONS	3
5	PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME.....	3
6	ATTENDANCE	4
	6.1 APOLOGIES	5
	6.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE	5
	6.3 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	5
7	DECLARATION OF INTERESTS.....	5
8	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.....	5
9	PRESENTATIONS	5
	9.1 PETITIONS.....	5
	9.2 PRESENTATIONS	5
	9.3 DEPUTATIONS	6
10	REPORTS	7
	10.1 ADMINISTRATION.....	7
	10.1.1 USE OF COTTESLOE OVAL BY COTTESLOE “ROOSTERS” AMATEUR FOOTBALL CLUB	7
	10.1.2 PROPOSAL FOR A TRIAL SHARK BARRIER – COTTESLOE BEACH	11
	10.1.3 WALGA'S POLL PROVISION ADVOCACY - REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK BY MEMBERS	19
	10.1.4 DESIGNATED AREAS FOR DOGS – FINAL ADOPTION	23
	10.1.5 2015 HAVAIANAS THONG CHALLENGE	28

	10.1.6	CELEBRATION OF THE MOTORCAR - 2014	33
10.2		ENGINEERING.....	37
	10.2.1	REQUEST FOR NATURAL AREAS MANAGEMENT PLAN - REVIEW AND UPDATE	37
	10.2.2	REQUEST TO NAME ROW 55	40
	10.2.3	REQUEST FOR SEALING A PORTION OF DOsCAS LANE (ROW 32)	43
	10.2.4	REQUEST FOR SECTION OF ROW 76B TO BE SEALED	45
	10.2.5	REQUEST FOR CAPE LILAC TREE REMOVALS, JARRAD STREET, COTTESLOE	47
10.3		FINANCE.....	50
	10.3.1	STATUTORY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD 1 JULY 2014 TO 30 SEPTEMBER 2014	50
	10.3.2	SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS AND LOANS AS AT 30 SEPTEMBER 2014	52
	10.3.3	LIST OF ACCOUNTS PAID FOR THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER 2014	54
	10.3.4	RATES AND SUNDRY DEBTORS REPORTS AS AT 30 SEPTEMBER 2014	56
11		ELECTED MEMBERS' MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN.....	58
12		NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF MEETING BY:.....	58
	12.1	ELECTED MEMBERS.....	58
	12.2	OFFICERS	58
13		MEETING CLOSED TO PUBLIC	58
	13.1	MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED	58
	13.2	PUBLIC READING OF RECOMMENDATIONS THAT MAY BE MADE PUBLIC.....	58
14		MEETING CLOSURE.....	58

1 DECLARATION OF MEETING OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Presiding Member announced the meeting opened at 6:00 PM.

2 DISCLAIMER**3 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION**

Cr Rowell addressed Committee and advised that he wished to acknowledge the hard work of the Town's Works Department in clearing up after recent storm damage.

4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**4.1 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE**

Nil

4.2 PUBLIC QUESTIONS

Nil

5 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

Ms Donna Fuller, Cottesloe – 10.1.4 Designated Areas for Dogs – Final Adoption

Ms Fuller advised Committee that she has been a Cottesloe resident for 48 years and in that time she has seen the Vera View beach come under increased pressure from dogs, adding that the number of dogs frequenting the beach increases each year.

Ms Fuller stated that there is limited street parking near the beach and dogs off leads frequently run into her yard, resulting in her children having a fear of dogs. Ms Fuller continued by stating that the beach was beautiful before it became a dog beach, adding that many dog owners show no consideration, resulting in noise pollution and owners not cleaning up after their dogs. Ms Fuller then expressed concern that dogs off leads are a danger to drivers and users of the nearby dual use path.

Ms Fuller concluded by requesting that the Northern Dog Beach be closed to dogs and suggested that dog owners take their dogs to the dog beach in Swanbourne, in the City of Nedlands, as the beach is larger and has superior facilities.

Mr Peter Nelson, Cottesloe - 10.1.4 Designated Areas for Dogs – Final Adoption

Mr Nelson addressed Committee and advised that he is a dog owner and has been a Cottesloe resident for over 40 years. Mr Nelson stated that the main problem with the Northern Dog Beach is that it is too small. Mr Nelson

commented that the Northern Dog Beach is a terrific family beach and one of the safest for swimming.

Mr Nelson suggested that the beach should be given back to families and dog owners should take their dogs to Swanbourne dog beach instead. Mr Nelson also suggested that Council could consider a compromise, by allowing dogs on the beach in the winter months and closing the beach to dogs completely in the summer months.

Ms Sue Freeth, Cottesloe – 10.2.1 Request for Natural Areas Management Plan – Review and Update

Ms Freeth advised Committee she was speaking on behalf of Coastcare. Ms Freeth stated that she wanted to reiterate the points raised in the report, in particular, that it is a prerequisite to obtain funding from grants that a current Natural Areas Management Plan be in place.

Ms Freeth acknowledged the good working relationship Coastcare have enjoyed with retiring Manager Engineering Services, Geoff Trigg. Ms Freeth added that an updated Natural Areas Management Plan needs to be in place for Mr Trigg's successor.

Ms Freeth advised that the environment has changed since the current Natural Areas Management Plan 2008 - 2013 was created, for example, a new weed has been found which requires new management techniques and Coastcare now wish to collate quantitative baseline data. Ms Freeth added that she hoped the officer recommendation is adopted and funding is set aside for Coastcare.

Mr Michael O'Connor, Cottesloe – 10.2.3 Request for Sealing a Portion of Doscas Lane (ROW 32)

Mr Connor advised that he has been parking his car in a garage on ROW 32 for 12 years, therefore he is familiar with the laneway. Mr Connor further advised that he wants Doscas Lane sealed for two reasons. Firstly, for safety reasons and secondly, the laneway is difficult to navigate.

Mr Connor referred to correspondence he believed, Forrest Street resident, Elise Mengler sent to residents adjoining the laneway. Mr Connor stated that he believes he and Ms Mengler's motivations are the same, that the laneway be sealed. Mr Connor advised that he concurs with Ms Mengler's view that the surface of the laneway has been raised with the application of gravel, resulting in flooding. Mr Connor stated that prior to the laneway being sealed current issues with the laneway need to be corrected.

6 ATTENDANCE

Present

Cr Robert Rowell
Cr Helen Burke
Cr Peter Jeanes

Presiding Member

Cr Sally Pyvis
Cr Philip Angers

Officers Present

Mr Mat Humfrey	Manager Corporate & Community Services
Mr Geoff Trigg	Manager Engineering Services
Mrs Siobhan French	Administration & Governance Officer

Gallery

Media (1)
Members of the Public (14)

6.1 APOLOGIES

Nil

Officer Apologies

Mr Carl Askew	Chief Executive Officer
---------------	-------------------------

6.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Mayor Jo Dawkins

6.3 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil

7 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Nil

8 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved Cr Angers, seconded Cr Jeanes

[Minutes September 16 2014 Works and Corporate Services Committee.docx](#)

The Minutes of the Ordinary meeting of the Works And Corporate Services Committee, held on 16 September 2014 be confirmed.

Carried 5/0

9 PRESENTATIONS

9.1 PETITIONS

Nil

9.2 PRESENTATIONS

Nil

9.3 DEPUTATIONS

For the benefit of the members of the public present, the Presiding Member determined to consider item 10.1.4 – Designated Areas for Dogs – Final Adoption first, followed by item 10.2.1 Request for Natural Areas Management Plan – Review and Update, then item 10.2.3 Request for Sealing a Portion of Doscas Lane (ROW 32) and then returned to the published order of the agenda.

The following items were dealt with “en bloc”:

- 10.3.1 Statutory Financials for the Period 1 July 2014 to 30 September 2014
- 10.3.2 Schedule of Investments and Loans as at 30 September 2014
- 10.3.3 List of Accounts for the month of September 2014
- 10.3.4 Rates and Sundry Debtors as at 30 September 2014

10 REPORTS

10.1 ADMINISTRATION

10.1.1 USE OF COTTESLOE OVAL BY COTTESLOE "ROOSTERS" AMATEUR FOOTBALL CLUB

File Ref:	SUB/231
Attachments:	Attachment 1 End of Season Report from Cottesloe Roosters Attachment 2 Letter of Support from Cottesloe Junior Football Club Attachment 3 Email from Cottesloe Rugby Club Attachment 4 Letters of Support for Roosters Attachment 5 Photos of Cottesloe Oval Post Season
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Mat Humfrey Manager Corporate & Community Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest:	Nil

SUMMARY

At its meeting in September 2013, Council approved the use of the Cottesloe Oval by the Cottesloe Amateur Football Club for the 2014 season. Following the conclusion of the 2014 season, the Club has written to the Town, seeking permission to use the Oval for the 2015 season and beyond.

BACKGROUND

Cottesloe Oval and Harvey Field have been used by the Cottesloe Rugby Club and Cottesloe Magpies Junior Football Club for many years. The two Clubs have co-existed with no issues arising. The facilities present at the ground are reflective of and suitable for these uses.

In mid 2013, the Town was approached by a new club, the Cottesloe Roosters Amateur Football Club regarding the potential use of Cottesloe Oval as their home ground. As the ground was already used by two existing clubs, their feedback was sought on the proposal.

In approving the use of the Oval by the Roosters for the 2014 season, several conditions were imposed to address the concerns of the existing users. These conditions were;

1. No additional liquor license would be considered for the reserve area;
2. In the event of a dispute, priority will be given to the two existing users, being the Cottesloe Junior Football Club and Cottesloe Rugby Club; and
3. The approval will be reviewed at the completion of the 2014 football season.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Local Government Act 1995

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

As a part of the review, the Roosters were asked to seek and supply letters of support from the two existing users. The Cottesloe Junior Football Club supplied a letter of support which is shown in attachment 2.

Initial enquiries from the Roosters for a letter of support from the Rugby Club were unsuccessful. In turn, the Town contacted the Cottesloe Rugby Club directly to ascertain their views – their response is included in attachment 3.

STAFF COMMENT

The initial season for the Roosters appears to have been a positive one. They managed to field two teams, which achieved good results in the grades they were placed. While initially there were some issues regarding the use of Harvey Field, towards the end of the season, these issues seemed to have been resolved.

There are some questions as to whether or not the ground itself can support the additional use that has occurred this season. While the level of use is not unusual, the location of the ground and subsurface does mean that it was operating at its capacity this year. If use of the Oval by the Roosters continues, it will need to be monitored closely by Works Staff and there may be occasions when use of parts of the Oval will need to be limited.

Having reviewed the End of Season Report provided by the Roosters, there may be issues moving forward that will need to be addressed. The report lists a number of things the club would like to address as future improvements. Council may wish to be mindful of these things, when considering the future use of Cottesloe Oval, as it does provide an indicator of the Club's vision.

The first of the improvements listed is the provision of a permanent scoreboard. The Club has already applied for a permanent scoreboard, with sponsorship signage on

it. The request was declined by Council at its meeting in July 2014, however it remains on the end of season report as a future improvement.

The Roosters have also indicated that they would like increased lighting at the ground and improvements to the Anderson Pavilion. The Cottesloe Oval does not currently have lighting suitable for high level football training. Any improvement in lighting will have an effect on nearby residents and would most likely require an approval from the WA Planning Commission. The Club also intends to refurbish and extend the Anderson Pavilion. Again this would likely require external approvals and would have an impact on adjacent residents.

Even though the current approval states that no further liquor licenses would be considered for the reserve area, the Club also states that acquiring a club restricted license “for subsequent seasons” as something it would like to address. It would appear that during the 2014 season, the Club attained occasional licenses for the service of alcohol from the Anderson Pavilion, and based on this intends applying for a club restricted license.

The last development that is mentioned in the End of Season Report is the expansion of the Club to include a “colts” team. This grade acts as a bridge between junior and senior football, and it is understandable why the Club would seek to include this grade. The issue that needs to be considered here by the Town though is whether or not the Oval has the capacity to service any further teams – both in sense of time available for training and games, as well as wear and tear. As mentioned previously the level of wear and tear experienced this year suggests the current load is the maximum the ground can support.

While at this stage there are no reasons to suggest that the Roosters use of Cottesloe Oval should cease, it would be worth reminding the Club of the conditions that have been applied to their use of the ground. If Council still believe that no additional liquor licenses should be considered for that location, this may have an impact on the Club’s decision making process moving forward. Further, any expansion of the Anderson Pavilion or lighting infrastructure should be noted and position given to the Roosters, so they can make appropriate planning decisions.

The recommendation is to allow the Cottesloe Roosters to continue to use Cottesloe Oval for training and home games during 2015 – however the existing conditions have been reiterated. The addition of new condition regarding additional structures and lighting has been added, to make clear to the Roosters, that at this stage, the Town has no intention of increasing the size or number of facilities at the Oval.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Jeanes****THAT Council:**

- 1. Accept the End of Season report as supplied by the Cottesloe Amateur Football Club;**
- 2. Approve the continued use of Cottesloe Oval, by the Cottesloe Amateur Football Club for the 2015 seasons, subject to the following conditions;**
 - a. No additional liquor license will be considered for the reserve area;**
 - b. In the event of a dispute, priority will be given to the Cottesloe Junior Football Club (Magpies) and the Cottesloe Rugby Club;**
 - c. The Town has no intention of upgrading or expanding the facilities at Cottesloe Oval during the 2015 season; and**
 - d. The approval is for two senior teams. Any additional team will require prior approval from the Chief Executive Officer.**

Carried 5/0

10.1.2 PROPOSAL FOR A TRIAL SHARK BARRIER – COTTESLOE BEACH

File Ref: SUB/1770
Attachments: [Confidential Proposal Bionic Barrier](#)
[Confidential Proposal Eco Shark Barrier](#)
[The West Australian Article SLSWA 7 October 2014](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

This report responds to recent proposals received by the Town in relation to shark barriers on Cottesloe beach and recommends that Council consider the temporary installation of such a barrier, subject to a range of approvals and conditions as outlined in this report.

BACKGROUND

Following an increased incidence of fatal shark attacks along the West Australian coastline during 2013/14 the State Government committed funds to research and trial various shark hazard mitigation treatments. The State Government Department of Commerce (which houses the office of the Chief Scientist) sought Expressions of Interest (EOI) from Local Governments for grant funding of up to \$150,000 to trial a beach enclosure to protect swimmers from risk of shark encounters. The City of Cockburn submitted an EOI and was shortlisted, however was unsuccessful in securing the funds on account of the form of barrier the City proposed (the Eco Shark Barrier) not being consistent with the product that the State Government wanted to trial. The City of Busselton was subsequently successful in securing a grant to trial a net at Dunsborough.

As a means of testing their product, the proponents of the Eco Shark Barrier sought support from the City of Cockburn to trial their barrier at Coogee Beach over the 2013/14 summer at no cost to Council. After a rigorous consultation, application and approval process through a number of State Government agencies the barrier was finally installed in December 2013 and removed on 26 April 2014. Eco Shark Barrier Pty Ltd (ESB) subsequently offered Council an opportunity to purchase or lease the Barrier on an ongoing basis. Based upon the success of the trial Cockburn Council subsequently resolved to commence negotiations with ESB and the State Government to continue the trial for a three year period from September 2014 to September 2017.

According to the officer report to Cockburn Council “*by all measures contemplated, the barrier trial is considered to have been a success*” and a number of “success measures” were reported, including;

- No Personal Injuries

- No Marine Animal Entrapment or Other Marine Creature Harm
- Barrier Resilience to Sea Conditions
- Beach or Seabed Sand Accretion or Erosion
- Seaweed or Flotsam Build-up
- Boat or Other Watercraft Issues or Incidents
- Beachgoer Acceptance
- Ancillary Popularity Issues
- Council Costs
- Reduced Risk of Shark Encounters

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Beach Policy

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Local Government Act 1995 - Section 3.18 (3)

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

If the recommendation is successful a \$110,000 allocation will be required in the 2014/15 financial year budget. ESB have provided the Town with priced proposals for both the purchase and/or lease of the Eco Shark Barrier, with or without an ongoing maintenance component.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

There will be some staff time required to work with ESB to obtain the necessary approvals for the trial as well as reporting and monitoring on the installation and operation of the barrier.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

The sustainability implications are unknown at this stage. The impact on sustainability will be monitored during the trial period and reported back to Council.

CONSULTATION

Presentations to Elected Members during September 2014.

STAFF COMMENT

The Eco Shark Barrier installed at Coogee Beach was comprised of “clip together” uPVC star segments hung between a continuous uPVC float line on the water surface and a continuous anchored line running along the sea bed. This was secured to anchor pylons and the barrier formed an enclosure approximately 300 metres long by 75 metres wide parallel to the beach.

In relation to Cottesloe attachment two shows the proposed location for the placement of the barrier at Cottesloe Beach, including the requirement for some

securing at both the end of the groyne and beach. As a condition of any trial, ESB will be required to provide monthly reports on how the barrier performs.

Issues for Consideration

1. Approvals

For the barrier trial to take place ESB and/or the Town will be required to obtain approvals from:

- (i) The Department of Lands (in the form of a license to use Crown Land and meet the requirements of the Aboriginal Heritage Act);
- (ii) The Department of Planning; and
- (iii) The Department of Transport, in the form of a license for the structure in the marine environment.
- (iv) The Department of the Environment

Given the success of the trial at Coogee and recent decisions by the State Government in relation to “drum lines” it is hoped that the approvals should not be overly difficult to acquire.

2. Lease or Purchase, Maintenance & Inspection Costs

As noted from the presentations to Council there is some interest in this product and, based upon the State Government’s latest position, there may be some increased market demand for the Eco Shark Barrier or similar product, and it is reasonable to assume that other manufacturers will look at competing. This will likely impact on price.

For the purchase option, any necessary maintenance would be at the Town’s cost. Separate to maintenance is routine inspection of the barrier and most especially after storm events to ensure no marine animals or large quantities of seaweed or flotsam are caught in it. A maintenance and inspection schedule would need to be developed but it is felt such inspections would likely need to be an average of around once per week via boat or snorkeler. An initial budget allocation for maintenance and inspection associated with the purchase option would be recommended and/or negotiated with ESB as part of any lease arrangement. This cost would be reviewed once installation and specific inspection regimes and resource needs are established.

3. Future Replacement

The likely life of the various barrier elements is unknown at this time, it being a prototype design. The proponents have suggested between 5 and 10 years and it is probable that ESB will look to improve upon aspects of the product for new and existing installations, as is the case for the Town as the proposed barrier has been improved from the version trialled at Coogee. Any pylon and/or anchorage elements themselves can be expected to have a very long life before needing replacement.

4. Erosion or Sedimentation

The trial barrier at Coogee has not been in place for long enough to fully establish whether erosion or sedimentation of the beach or sea bed may become a problem and necessitate additional expenditure to address. This will be the case regardless of a

purchase or lease option being taken up.

State Government's Shark Hazard Response Initiatives

Whilst the State Government via the Department of Commerce and Department of the Premier and Cabinet was not prepared to contribute funding toward the trial of the Eco Shark Barrier at Coogee Beach they are interested in the outcomes of the trial, including a comparison with the Uni Net Barrier trialled at Dunsborough. Clearly beach enclosures are one of the options to provide a protected swimming environment and it can be expected that there will be continued State Government interest in barrier installations at locations around the West Australian coast, especially given the latest determination in relation to drum lines. Whether this will translate into support funding is not known but should be explored.

Provided Amenity & Community Response

There is no doubt that the Eco Shark Barrier has been a popular inclusion to Coogee Beach. It has provided the opportunity for a safe secure swimming experience in the ocean for those persons that would be otherwise pensive or fearful of entering the water on account of concern about sharks. Comments provided by their community survey suggest that people have taken up swimming in the ocean again or are enjoying the experience of swimming in the ocean much more so since the barrier was established. Feedback via the survey and anecdotally also suggests that people are travelling considerable distances to Coogee, as compared to closer beaches, on account of the Eco Shark Barrier being installed there. Similarly swimming lessons and families with young children are seen to be taking advantage of the barrier whereas they would not have utilised this beach prior.

The presence of a beach enclosure does provide increased amenity for the users in much the same way as a jetty, groyne, beach pool or pontoon. Whether this should justify installing a barrier for future use is a matter for Council to consider. The Eco Shark Barrier trial at Coogee Beach was considered successful from the City of Cockburn's perspective and it appears to be widely accepted by beach users and anecdotally, it is giving everyone an opportunity to embrace the ocean environment without fear. Whilst its impact on shark behaviour is still relatively unknown, it does provide social advantage, at least in an environment such as Coogee Beach and potentially other metropolitan beaches.

The social advantage that the barrier offers should not be left to the Town to provide or fund on its own. The State Government has accepted its role in trying to address the social impacts of sharks by funding products to help mitigate shark attacks. It is not unreasonable to expect the Government to contribute to the purchase and/or installation of such a product. Officers have therefore recommended that Council seek matching financial support from the State Government. It is not yet known whether the State Government will agree to co-fund the proposed trial.

At this point in time the barrier is still relatively untested, having only been installed at one relatively calm beach area for one season. Whilst the social benefits have been highlighted, further work needs to be done to prove the product in different weather conditions. A three year trial period is recommended and officers are recommending to enter into negotiations with ESB and the State Government to support the trial of the eco shark barrier for a three year period.

To streamline the approval process, officers are recommending that the Town lease the area of coastline bounded by the trial and, with ESB, also seek the necessary approvals for installation of the barrier. The various State Government agencies may be somewhat reluctant to enter into long term agreements with a private entity and would be more willing to support the trial if the Town leases the area.

Options

The options available to the Town going forward in respect to the Eco Shark Barrier are as listed below:

1. Not install the barrier (i.e. no purchase or lease) and allow the State Government to determine its position or proposal for a similar style of net/barrier.
2. The Town purchases the barrier in its entirety and the barrier is installed as soon as all approvals are obtained as per the attached quotation noting that maintenance of the barrier (if required) would be at an extra cost to the Town. Removal of the barrier over subsequent winter periods would be at the cost of the Town.
3. The Town leases the barrier from ESB as per the quotation received for a period of three years, inclusive of installation, inspection and maintenance. An annual clean would incur an extra cost of \$20,000 and periodic inspections potentially another \$10,000 per annum. The barrier is not to be left in over the winter period and would be removed and stored by ESB at their cost.

VOTING

Absolute Majority

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION

Committee discussed the design and operational aspects of the trial shark barrier. The Manager Corporate and Community Services reminded Committee that the design has not been finalised and there are still is still much work to do before a shark barrier is trialled.

Committee debated the level financial support the State Government should contribute to the trial. Cr Pyvis was of the view that the State Government should cover the cost for the trial, however, Cr Jeanes expressed concern that the Town would then be unable to have a say in how the trial was run. Committee concluded to finalise the request to the State Government for a financial contribution at a later date.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Burke, seconded Cr Jeanes

THAT Council, by absolute majority:

1. Note the officer report;
2. Seek financial support from the State Government on a dollar for dollar basis up to a maximum of \$50,000 per annum;

3. Seek approval from the Department of Lands to lease the area bounded by the Eco Shark Barrier for a three (3) year period during the trial;
4. Subject to points (2) and (3) above, approve a three (3) year trial of the Eco Shark Barrier at Cottesloe beach on the basis of the barrier being installed each summer season (1 November to 31 March) and removed each winter, and provided the following conditions are met:
 - a) Eco Shark Barrier Pty Ltd are to;
 - i) In partnership with the Town, consult with Surf Life Saving WA and Cottesloe Surf Life Saving Club in relation to the proposed location and operation of the barrier;
 - ii) Provide certification of the Eco Shark Barrier by an appropriately qualified engineer;
 - iii) Gain and comply with all required approvals from the necessary government agencies, including Department of Lands, Department of Planning and Department of Transport;
 - iv) Ensure that they have public liability insurance to the value of \$20,000,000 for the duration of the trial;
 - v) Retain responsibility for installation, management, insurance, cleaning and monitoring of the barrier for the entire period of the trial;
 - vi) Install, monitor, maintain and remove the structure at their own cost;
 - vii) Provide monthly reports to the Town in relation to the structure which is to include details on public issues including safety, maintenance issues, costs and marine wildlife captures;
 - viii) Monitor and report on erosion or sedimentation of the beach or sea bed;
 - ix) Give a commitment to remove the structure early should it not withstand ocean conditions or have any adverse impacts on beach users; and
 - x) At the end of the trial Eco Shark Barrier Pty Ltd will remove the Barrier and all associated elements including any pylons and/or anchor assemblies unless alternative arrangements have been made with the Town.
5. Amend the budget for the year ended 30 June 2015 to include an allocation of \$110,000 for the installation, removal and maintenance of a shark barrier at Cottesloe Beach.

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Jeanes, seconded Cr Burke

That the words “*on a dollar for dollar basis up to a maximum of \$50,000 per annum*” be removed from point two.

Carried 5/0

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council, by absolute majority:

1. Note the officer report;
2. Seek financial support from the State Government;
3. Seek approval from the Department of Lands to lease the area bounded by the Eco Shark Barrier for a three (3) year period during the trial;
4. Subject to points (2) and (3) above, approve a three (3) year trial of the Eco Shark Barrier at Cottesloe beach on the basis of the barrier being installed each summer season (1 November to 31 March) and removed each winter, and provided the following conditions are met:

Eco Shark Barrier Pty Ltd are to;

- i. In partnership with the Town, consult with Surf Life Saving WA and Cottesloe Surf Life Saving Club in relation to the proposed location and operation of the barrier;
- ii. Provide certification of the Eco Shark Barrier by an appropriately qualified engineer;
- iii. Gain and comply with all required approvals from the necessary government agencies, including Department of Lands, Department of Planning and Department of Transport;
- iv. Ensure that they have public liability insurance to the value of \$20,000,000 for the duration of the trial;
- v. Retain responsibility for installation, management, insurance, cleaning and monitoring of the barrier for the entire period of the trial;
- vi. Install, monitor, maintain and remove the structure at their own cost;
- vii. Provide monthly reports to the Town in relation to the structure which is to include details on public issues including safety, maintenance issues, costs and marine wildlife captures;

- viii. Monitor and report on erosion or sedimentation of the beach or sea bed;
 - ix. Give a commitment to remove the structure early should it not withstand ocean conditions or have any adverse impacts on beach users; and
 - x. At the end of the trial Eco Shark Barrier Pty Ltd will remove the Barrier and all associated elements including any pylons and/or anchor assemblies unless alternative arrangements have been made with the Town.
5. Amend the budget for the year ended 30 June 2015 to include an allocation of \$110,000 for the installation, removal and maintenance of a shark barrier at Cottesloe Beach.

THE AMENDED SUBSTANTIVE MOTION WAS PUT

Carried 5/0

10.1.3 WALGA'S POLL PROVISION ADVOCACY - REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK BY MEMBERS

File Ref: SUB/793-02
Attachments: [Poll Provisions Infopage September 2014](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

This report requests that Council consider its position and provide feedback to WALGA as it determines the Association's policy position regarding advocacy for amendments to the poll provisions contained in Schedule 2.1 of the Local Government Act 1995, which enable electors of a local government that will be abolished or significantly affected by a boundary change proposal, to demand a poll.

BACKGROUND

At the 2 July 2014 WALGA State Council meeting, it was resolved to adopt, and advocate for, a policy position that the poll provisions should be amended so that electors of a local government where one or more local governments will be abolished or significantly affected by a boundary change proposal are able to demand a poll on the proposal; with 'significantly affected' being specifically defined as causing a fifty percent variation in:

- i. Population; or,
- ii. Rateable properties; or,
- iii. Revenue.

At WALGA's Annual General Meeting, held on 6 August, the meeting resolved:

That this Annual General Meeting, recognising the current approach by the State Government to the manipulation of the principles of the 'Dadour' poll provisions:

- a) *endorse WALGA's position of providing community access to the poll provisions where 1 or more districts are to be abolished rather than the 2 or more districts as currently provided for in the Local Government Act 1995;*
- b) *endorse WALGA's proposed extension of the poll provisions to significant boundary adjustments subject to any associated criteria and any percentages being agreed to by a majority of all local governments in Western Australia, and*
- c) *reaffirm as policy, that WALGA is opposed to the removal or dilution of the 'Dadour' poll provisions including the temporary dilution or removal of those provisions.*

State Council, at its 3 September 2014 meeting, endorsed parts (a) and (c) of the AGM resolution above and resolved the following in relation to part (b):

Part (b) – endorse WALGA’s proposed extension of the poll provisions to include significant boundary adjustments subject to further research and sector consultation being carried out on any associated criteria and for a report to be presented through the next Zone/State Council Meetings.

Local governments are invited to provide feedback prior to Friday 31 October 2014 to inform an agenda item to be prepared for the 3 December 2014 State Council meeting.

Past Resolutions

Council has previously considered the issue of poll provisions and its resolutions have been consistent and unchanged. Most recently (August 2013) Council resolved as follows;

THAT Council;

- 1. Not support the Minister for Local Government’s amalgamation proposal for the Councils of the western suburbs being forced on our community.*
- 2. Oppose the removal or dilution of the Dadour Poll provisions in the Local Government Act.*
- 3. Lobby State parliamentarians, encouraging them to not support the amending legislation as it relates to the Poll provisions (the Dadour amendment) contained in Local Government Act 1995.*
- 4. Encourage elected members within rural and remote areas to lobby local State parliamentarians to oppose the removal of the Poll provisions.*
- 5. Call upon the State Government to suspend the existing 4 October 2013 deadline for submissions to the Local Government Advisory Board, until the outcome of any process to remove or amend the Poll provisions is determined.*
- 6. Recommend to WALGA via the Central Metropolitan Zone, and via support from other affected metropolitan local governments, for adoption by WALGA State Council to lobby State parliamentarians for retention and no dilution of the Poll provisions, and promote this view to the State Government.*
- 7. Encourage members of the Cottesloe community to Lobby State parliamentarians to not support the amending legislation as it relates to the Poll provisions (Dadour provisions) contained in Local Government Act 1995.*

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Although there is no actual policy Council’s most recent resolution regarding local government reform was to emphasise support for the poll provisions.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Schedule 2.1 of the Local Government Act 1995

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The intention of the motion by City of Canning is understood to be to address attempts to bypass the poll provisions of the Act through strategies such as those adopted by the Minister for Local Government, where he did not propose mergers of two or more districts; instead the boundary of one district would be extended to encompass the neighbour or one district would be split up amongst neighbours. The approach was supposed to indicate that there are no forced mergers because they will only be boundary changes; however, it appears quite clearly a manoeuvre intended to circumvent the poll provisions and has been challenged in the Supreme Court of Western Australia by a private citizen supported by three impacted councils. The Chief Justice referred the matter for a judicial review and the outcome of the proceedings may be known by the end of the year. The outcome of the judicial review may determine how important the development of this policy position actually is.

Defining the criteria for whether a boundary change significantly affects a local government is difficult and there are divergent views within the sector. There seems to be a general view that a minor boundary change, perhaps to fix an anomaly, should not be the subject of a potential poll of electors. There is also a widely shared (though undoubtedly not unanimous) view that, where one or more local governments will be abolished or a local government's viability could be affected by a boundary change proposal, electors should have the right to demand a poll.

Criteria defining whether a local government would be 'significantly affected' could be defined in the Act. This was State Council's original approach where it was resolved that a 50% variation in population, or rateable properties or revenue would be the trigger for the community to have the option to call a poll however it is possible that a 50% variation is too high a criterion. A local government could be rendered unviable by a significantly smaller change, particularly if that change were to its rate base and therefore its revenue. As with so much in discussion of local government restructuring, population alone could be considered a weak indicator, as a district may well survive a significant population loss, perhaps offset by future growth, if its revenue stream was protected, because it received significant rate income from commercial or industrial property.

In addressing this matter it needs to be determined whether there are appropriate criteria available or whether there should be an alternative method to determine

whether a local government would be 'significantly affected' by a boundary change proposal.

Options to address this issue presented by WALGA are:

1. All boundary change proposals could be the subject of a poll. While there is a general view that minor boundary changes should not be subject to a poll of the community, it could be argued that a minor boundary change that only affects a small number of properties would be unlikely to attract enough interest from the community for a poll to be called or to ultimately be successful in overturning the proposal. This would remove the need for criteria to be established to define 'significantly affected'.
2. Criteria defining whether a local government would be 'significantly affected' could be defined in the Local Government Act. It is suggested that a percentage variation in population, or rateable properties, or revenue could be defined as the appropriate criteria to trigger the community's right to call a poll.

Three percentages are presented as options to define these criteria in the Local Government Act:

- a. 10 percent.
- b. 25 percent
- c. 50 percent.

A further consideration might be that where the Councils of all districts affected agree that a change is minor and make a joint proposal for the change to the LGAB, the poll provisions will not apply. In all other circumstances the poll provisions will apply. This seems to be a way to simplify the issue to a degree that it might be able to be agreed without attempting to finesse definitions suitable to all or most WALGA members.

If a definition of "significant" is required it should be set very low and it should not be based on one measure alone. The basis for a sustainable local government rests on a number of factors, many of them to an extent interlinked. This means that if one is undermined others probably will be also.

Feedback to WALGA is required by 31 October 2014.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Pyvis

THAT Council advise WALGA that it supports the Local Government Act being amended so that the community of a local government could demand a poll under any boundary change proposal.

Carried 5/0

10.1.4 DESIGNATED AREAS FOR DOGS – FINAL ADOPTION

File Ref: SUB/1862
Attachments: [Submissions Dogs in Public Places](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Mat Humfrey
Manager Corporate & Community Services
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

In July this year, Council authorised the advertising of areas that would be considered designated areas under the recent amendments to the Dog Act 1976. The submissions received and a recommendation for final adoption are being presented for Council's consideration.

BACKGROUND

Until recently, the designation of "dog exercise areas" and "places where dogs are prohibited absolutely" was undertaken by including a relevant clause in the Town's Dogs Local Law. However the Dog Act 1976 and the accompanying Regulations now require that these areas be set aside via a resolution of Council, following the required advertising periods.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

The required advertising and notices were created and placed following the July 2014 Council meeting. The response was low with only seven submissions being received. These submissions are summarised below, with the actual submissions in attachment one.

STAFF COMMENT

Given the level of feedback received during the advertising of the Town of Cottesloe Dogs Local Law 2011, a much higher level of feedback was anticipated during this advertising process. With only seven submissions received, and the submissions themselves presenting a range of views, there is no evidence strong enough to recommend any changes to the current arrangements.

The concerns raised in submissions four and five have been provided to the rangers for action. We have had Rangers on duty in the early hours of the morning (prior to 6.00am) and cautions and infringements have been issued to people with dogs of leads in areas where they are required. These patrols will continue at various times during the summer months.

Submissions six and seven request that the northern dog beach have restricted hours return. However, with only two submissions making this request, there is simply not the grounds to change the current arrangements.

Submission three calls for Cottesloe Oval and Harvey Field to no longer be dog exercise areas. Again, with only one submission, there are not sufficient grounds to recommend a change at this stage. Again this issue has been forwarded to the Rangers to address through patrolling the area.

While no changes have been recommended at this stage, changing these arrangements can be done at any time by a resolution of Council, so long as the relevant advertising is undertaken. As such, should community opinion change or enough community support is demonstrated, Council can with relative ease, make an amendment to these arrangements.

VOTING

Absolute Majority

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION

Committee discussed the points raised during Public Statement Time at length and considered potential alternatives to the officer recommendation, in relation to the Northern Dog Beach.

Cr Pyvis raised and Committee discussed balancing the views of the residents present with needs of the wider community. Committee concluded that the Northern Dog Beach should not be designated as an exercise area for dogs.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council, by absolute majority:

1. Designate the following areas as Dog Exercise Areas;
 - (a) The following public beaches:
 - (i) Southern Dog Beach - the public beach south of the north side of the groyne at Beach Street and the easterly projection of that line to the access path to the beach, northerly along the western edge and easterly along the northern edge of that path to where

it joins the car park, then southerly to the southern boundary of the district.

- (ii) Northern Dog Beach - the public beach situated to the north of the prolongation westerly of the southern boundary of Lot 67 of Cottesloe Suburban Lot 13 to the western boundary of the municipality and thence northerly to the northern boundary of the municipality.
- (b) The following reserves:
- (i) Reserve A 1203 known as Grant Marine Park;
 - (ii) Reserve 29939 known as Andrews Place;
 - (iii) Reserve 24793 known as Jasper Green Reserve;
 - (iv) Cottesloe Oval, Reserve A6271 (Cottesloe Suburban Lot 63);
 - (v) Harvey Field, Part of Reserve A1664 (Cottesloe Suburban Lot 68);
 - (vi) In Curtin Avenue:
 - (I) An area bounded between the railway reserve to the east, the eastern edge of the constructed part of Curtin Avenue to the west, Eric Street to the north and the north edge of the footpath from Forrest Street to the south.
 - (II) An area bounded between the railway reserve to the east, the eastern edge of the constructed part of Curtin Avenue to the west, the southern edge of Grant Street railway station and Eric Street to the south.
 - (vii) In Railway Street:
 - (I) An area bounded between the railway reserve to the west, the western edge of the constructed part of Railway Street, the southern boundary of the car park at Congdon Street and the northern boundary of Eric Street to the south.
 - (II) An area bounded between the railway reserve to the west, the western edge of the constructed part of Railway Street, the southern boundary of Eric Street and the southern projection of Burt Street to the south.
 - (viii) John Black Dune Reserve A3235 (part of Napier Street Reserve bounded by the north side of the northern footpath on Napier Street, the eastern edge of the constructed car park at Napier Street (known as car park No. 2), the southern boundary of Bryan Way and the western boundaries of the tennis courts.

The above dog exercise areas do not apply to –

- (a) land which has been set apart as a children's playground;
- (b) an area being used for sporting or other activities, as permitted by the local government, during the times of such use; or

- (c) a carpark.
- 2. Designate the following areas as places where dogs are prohibited absolutely;
 - (a) where so indicated by a sign, a public building;
 - (b) a theatre;
 - (c) all premises, outdoor dining areas or vehicles classified as food premises or food vehicles under the Food Act 2008;
 - (d) a public swimming pool;
 - (e) a public beach or reserve not being a beach or reserve listed under point 1; and
 - (f) a children's playground.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Jeanes

THAT Council, by absolute majority:

1. Designate the following areas as Dog Exercise Areas;
 - (a) The following public beaches:
 - (i) Southern Dog Beach - the public beach south of the north side of the groyne at Beach Street and the easterly projection of that line to the access path to the beach, northerly along the western edge and easterly along the northern edge of that path to where it joins the car park, then southerly to the southern boundary of the district.
 - (b) The following reserves:
 - (i) Reserve A 1203 known as Grant Marine Park;
 - (ii) Reserve 29939 known as Andrews Place;
 - (iii) Reserve 24793 known as Jasper Green Reserve;
 - (iv) Cottesloe Oval, Reserve A6271 (Cottesloe Suburban Lot 63);
 - (v) Harvey Field, Part of Reserve A1664 (Cottesloe Suburban Lot 68);
 - (vi) In Curtin Avenue:
 - (I) An area bounded between the railway reserve to the east, the eastern edge of the constructed part of Curtin Avenue to the west, Eric Street to the north and the north edge of the footpath from Forrest Street to the south.
 - (II) An area bounded between the railway reserve to the east, the eastern edge of the constructed part of Curtin Avenue to the west, the southern edge of Grant Street railway station and Eric Street to the south.

(vii) In Railway Street:

(I) An area bounded between the railway reserve to the west, the western edge of the constructed part of Railway Street, the southern boundary of the car park at Congdon Street and the northern boundary of Eric Street to the south.

(II) An area bounded between the railway reserve to the west, the western edge of the constructed part of Railway Street, the southern boundary of Eric Street and the southern projection of Burt Street to the south.

(viii) John Black Dune Reserve A3235 (part of Napier Street Reserve bounded by the north side of the northern footpath on Napier Street, the eastern edge of the constructed car park at Napier Street (known as car park No. 2), the southern boundary of Bryan Way and the western boundaries of the tennis courts.

The above dog exercise areas do not apply to –

- (a) land which has been set apart as a children's playground;**
- (b) an area being used for sporting or other activities, as permitted by the local government, during the times of such use; or**
- (c) a carpark.**

2. Designate the following areas as places where dogs are prohibited absolutely;

- (a) where so indicated by a sign, a public building;**
- (b) a theatre;**
- (c) all premises, outdoor dining areas or vehicles classified as food premises or food vehicles under the Food Act 2008;**
- (d) a public swimming pool;**
- (e) a public beach or reserve not being a beach or reserve listed under point 1; and**
- (f) a children's playground.**

Carried 4/1

Note: Committee resolved to delete item 1(a)(ii) (Northern Dog Beach) from the officer recommendation due to issues raised during Public Question Time.

10.1.5 2015 HAVAIANAS THONG CHALLENGE

File Ref: SUB/1864
Attachments: [Event Application](#)
[Event Risk Assessment](#)
[Event Signage and Event Map](#)
[Cottesloe Surf Life Saving Club Letter of Support](#)

Responsible Officer: Mat Humfrey
Author: Manager Corporate & Community Services
Sherilee Macready
Community Development Officer

Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

Havaianas Thong Challenge is a nationwide event held on Australia Day each year at Cottesloe Beach. The 2015 event will be the 10th with Cottesloe being involved in the event for the last five. This report presents the organiser's application for the 2015 event for Council's consideration.

BACKGROUND

The event invites participants to take part in the "Havaianas Thong Challenge", which is a world record attempt for the largest chain of people floating out on the water on inflatable lilos. Participants are required to register either prior to the event or on the day at the beach. As part of the registration process, each participant receives an inflatable Havaianas Thong Lilo, to float on during the record challenge.

The 2015 event registration fees are:

- Pre-event online registration - \$30
- On the day registration - \$30

(\$10 for every participant is donated to the Cottesloe Surf Life Saving Club)

To address safety of registered participants, organisers have again included colour-coded wrist bands to indicate their swimming competency:

- Red – Novice/Average (or participating with children)
- Orange – Intermediate/Above average
- Green – Advanced/Confident

In 2014 electronic wrist bands for participants were introduced. Described as a NFC, or ShareBand, they feature "pair to participant" social media profiles that allow participants to: check-in for the event; identify themselves for collection of their lilos; and be able to share photographs from the event through their own social media networks; with an aim to enhance the interactive experience for participants. ShareBands will again be included as part of the 2015 event.

In 2014, organisers included large inflatable water entry arches at the water's edge, colour-coded to coordinate with the Share wrist bands and therefore each individual swimming competency. Organisers claim the arches have been included in part to further address safety of registered participants, which have been supported by Cottesloe Surf Life Saving Club, and will again will be included as part of the 2015 event.

In 2014 the Thong Challenge included over 6000 participants nationally, with 2099 from the Cottesloe event. (Events were held at Bondi Beach (NSW), Torquay (VIC), Glenelg (SA) and Cottesloe (WA)).

Beach games, including beach flags, thong-throw and thong-paddle will again be included as a component of the day.

Organisers have indicated that they will again be including "giveaway" items to members of the public as part of a spinwheel competition. Items such as mini beach balls, umbrellas, calico bags, drink bottles, mini bucket and spades, and mini beach bat and ball set are listed as prizes. It is likely that some of these items may be provided by the event's sponsors.

Organisers claim that the event adds value to the community in the following ways:

- Providing generous contribution to the local SLSC and Nippers association;
- Creates a structured, well organised event at Cottesloe Beach;
- Promotes Cottesloe as a family friendly environment to celebrate Australia Day together;
- Advocates Cottesloe's focus on safety with continual water safety and "alcohol prohibited" messages being promoted throughout the event;
- Provides entertainment and activities for all age groups on the day; and
- Supports local businesses by attracting people to the area.

Organisers of the event, Urban Media Australia Pty Ltd, have introduced risk control measures including water safety plans and on-hand first aid. The water area will also be "roped off" to avoid other members of the public who are celebrating Australia Day from clashing with the event.

With approximately 2,100 participants expected and additional spectators, extra toilet facilities will be provided by the organisers. Rubbish bins, including the provision for recycling will be provided by the organisers.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Beach Policy - This event appears to be in compliance with the Town of Cottesloe's Beach Policy.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Beaches and Beach Reserves Local Law 2012 has provisions for the maintenance and management of the beaches and beach reserves.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Below are the fees associated with Public Events / Multiple Area Events for over 500 people, as per the Town's Schedule of Fees and Charges for the year ending 30 June 2015.

Commercial (<1000 people)	\$3,000 per day
Commercial (>1000 ~ <2000 people)	\$6,000 per day
Commercial (<2000 ~ <3000 people)	\$10,000 per day

The event organisers have indicated that they are anticipating between 2000 – 2,200 paid participants and between 7,500 and 15,000 spectators.

In 2014 event organisers were charged a fee of \$6,000 (+ GST). The reduction from the nominal fee was because the organisers were contributing \$10 per participant to the Cottesloe Surf Life Saving Club.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Organisers have confirmed that the inflatable plastic lilos are not recyclable.

Coastal Environments

- Commitment to protect and maintain coastal biodiversity and habitats.

Waste Management and Recycling

- Commitment on reducing waste (e.g. reduced packaging, reduced material usage).
- Commitment on resource efficiency (reducing, reusing, recovering, recycling);
- Commitment on recycling materials (paper, cardboard, aluminium etc).

CONSULTATION

Officers contacted the Cottesloe Surf Life Saving Club to obtain feedback on the previous year's event. It was advised, that the 2014 event was overall a positive experience for the club.

STAFF COMMENT

Event organisers have indicated that this year they do not plan to have a "Havaianas Australia Day Thong Challenge Merchandise Shop", a feature of past events, at the beachfront. The shop usually sells Havaianas merchandise such as thongs and beach umbrellas; the sale of which can compete with local traders and potentially create more advertising, waste, and rubbish on the beach.

In some past events, organisers have set up their “Havaianas Shop” at the beachfront without permission from Council; therefore officers have some concerns around this item. The request for a “Havaianas Shop” at the 2013 event was declined by Council. Despite this, organisers included the shop within the Cottesloe Surf Life Saving grounds on the day of the event.

Organisers have again requested their ‘Bump In’ time to set up for the event one day earlier than previous year’s, on Saturday, 24 January 2015, to allow for additional equipment set up. This would mean an additional day, than the 2014 event, that could potentially impact general beachgoers wanting to access the beach for a swim, and as such is not supported.

The DJ / MC set up for this year’s event will be on the groyne level and will be the location where the presentation of the donation cheque to the Cottesloe Surf Life Saving Club at the end of the event is made. Organisers have stated that noise restriction regulations in this area will be addressed.

Organisers have advised that they will not be including an outdoor screen as part of this year’s event which was a feature of last year’s event application process. However, officers have noticed a reference to a screen on the 2015 event map provided by organisers. Administration will seek clarification with organisers prior to the event.

Organisers have not advised whether they will be having a photo booth as part of their event, however, officers have noticed the presence of a photo booth on the 2015 event map provided by organisers. Administration will seek clarification with organisers prior to the event.

VOTING

Simple Majority

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION

Cr Pyvis stated the she cannot support the event as the provision of plastic, non-recyclable lilos is against the Town’s Climate Change Policy and attempts by the Town to reduce its carbon footprint. Cr Pyvis also expressed concern that a commercial event will monopolise Cottesloe beach on Australia Day.

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Jeanes, seconded Cr Angers

THAT Council approve the application from Urban Media Australia Pty Ltd to hold the 2015 Havaianas Thong Challenge at Cottesloe Beach on Monday 26 January 2015, with the following conditions:

- 1. Adequate arrangements are made for rubbish collection and removal, including provision for recycling.**
- 2. Compliance with the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*.**

3. **Compliance with the requirements for sanitary facilities, access and egress, first aid and emergency response as per the *Health (Public Buildings) Regulations 1992*.**
4. **All fees are paid prior to the event, including fees to cover additional costs of cleaning the public toilets and ranger services (if required).**
5. **Class the event as a commercial event and charge the fee of \$6,000 (+GST) and a bond of \$2,000.**
6. **Provision of 'certificates of currency' to certify that organisers have adequate public liability insurance.**
7. **That signage is limited to only directional and safety signage, with the request for additional tear drop signage to be declined.**
8. **The request for additional 'Bump In' day, on Saturday, 24 January 2015 is declined.**
9. **Organisers supply 10 female and 8 male portable toilets to cater for the 2000+ participants and 7500+ spectators.**
10. **Vehicular access to the groyne and disability set down area are not to be restricted.**
11. **In the event that the 7 day forecast indicates that the maximum temperature for the day of the event will exceed 35 degrees, additional shade structures are permitted with a total area less than 100 square metres, subject to arrangements for these being to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive Officer.**

Carried 3/2

10.1.6 CELEBRATION OF THE MOTORCAR - 2014

File Ref: SUB/1865
Attachments: [Event Application Form](#)
[Event Management Plan](#)
[Draft Map](#)
Responsible Officer: Mat Humfrey
Manager Corporate & Community Services
Author: Sherilee Macready
Community Development Officer
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

Celebration of the Motorcar is an elite motoring exhibition event held in the grounds of the Cottesloe Civic Centre. Paul Blank from Automotive Events Management is seeking approval for the third Celebration of the Motorcar event, in its modern format. The original event was held annually from 1993 until 2003.

This report recommends that Council approve the application for this event to be held at the Cottesloe Civic Centre, on Sunday 16 November 2014, between 10.30am and 3.30pm.

BACKGROUND

The event invites members of the public to view an exhibition of Australia's (including Western Australian cars) classic, exotic and prestige cars in the grounds of the Cottesloe Civic Centre. The specific location of the public exhibition will be on the Main Lawn and Lower Lawn as shown on the attached map.

The primary aim of the event is to raise funds and profile for the organiser's chosen charity, Wheels for Hope. Wheels for Hope are a Charity that support WA families with disabilities who do not have the benefit of mobility. These are families who do not have suitable transportation to access critical medical and remedial care, educational opportunities and community events. Wheels of Hope have a fleet of 60 wheelchair hoist vehicles which are loaned to eligible families as part of the programme. This year, funds raised by the Celebration of the Motorcar event, will support Wheels of Hope maintain and grow its fleet, and assist more WA families to gain mobility and have a better chance of contributing to, and taking part in community life.

General public event admittance fees for the motoring exhibition are as follows:

- Adults admission \$20
- Children admission \$10
- Family admission \$50 (2 adults and up to 4 children)

100% of admission fees, after costs, are donated to the organisation's chosen charity organisation, Wheels for Hope, with a small portion of that going to the 2013 beneficiary, Bridging Communities Inc.

The original event was created and organised by Paul Blank of Automotive Events Management. The inaugural event, held in 1993, won the West Australian Motoring Event of the Year award. After five successful years of the event held at Cottesloe Civic Centre, demand was such that the event moved to a larger venue at the Claremont Teachers College. The event was held at the teacher's college until 2003.

Rubbish bins are required for the event, which were supplied by the Council at last year's event in support of this charitable event.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Outdoor Concerts and Large Public Events Policy.

Events Classification Policy.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Below we have outlined the fees associated with Public Events / Multiple Area Events for over 500 people, as per the Town's Schedule of Fees and Charges for the year ending 30 June 2015.

Charity	Nil
Community (<1000 people)	\$550 per day
Community (>1000 ~ <3000 people)	\$1,100 per day
Commercial (<1000 people)	\$3,000 per day
Commercial (>1000 ~ <2000 people)	\$6,000 per day
Commercial (<2000 ~ <3000 people)	\$10,000 per day

The event organisers have indicated that they are anticipating approximately 2000 paid participants to the event – which would attract a fee of \$6,000.

However, the organisers are contributing 100% of the admission fees collected, after costs, to their chosen charities, Wheels for Hope, which supports WA families with disabilities who do not have the benefit of mobility, (and Bridging Communities Inc.). As such it is recommended that Council classify this event as a charitable event – which has no fees.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Adequate arrangements are made for rubbish collection, including the provision for recycling.

CONSULTATION

In order to limit the impact on neighbours from potential noise generated from the event, no activity will take place in the Secret Garden, and noise limits will be put into place for activities on the Lower Lawn, with no vehicle activity at the Civic Centre before 8.30am or after 6.00pm on Sunday 16 November 2014.

However it is still recommended that neighbouring properties be advised of the event taking place (if approved) and provide a mechanism for them to provide feedback if required.

STAFF COMMENT

The 2012 and 2013 events were well organised and drew interest from local residents, who appreciated the type and value of the cars on display. Officers verified with organisers that 100% of net admission fees from the event went to the organiser's 2013 charity, Bridging Communities Inc. The Town did not receive any formal noise complaints from surrounding residents to the Cottesloe Civic Centre following the 2013 event and as such is supportive of the event.

Event organisers have advised officers that event signage will be at a minimum and will consist primarily of directional signage. Event signage consists of one 'Celebration of the Motorcar' banner as per the 2013 event, and specific car trade display signage, which will be restricted to individual car sites. With the focus of the event being the cars themselves, advertising will be kept to an absolute minimum.

Event organisers have also advised officers that materials used to "rope off" areas used to house display cars, will leave as little impact as possible on the lawn areas of the Main and Lower Lawn. The Town's Grounds Staff will be available to assist with marking out the grounds prior to the event, to minimise damage to lawn areas and reticulation systems.

On the Saturday 15 November, between 10.00am and 12.00pm, some cars will be delivered to the Lower Lawn in preparation for their display placement between 6.00pm and 8.00pm at both the Main and Lower Lawns. Event organisers will be required to keep the noise associated with this to a minimum. A security guard will be in place overnight to guard the vehicles.

On the morning of the event, the remainder of the display cars will enter the Main Lawn and Lower Lawn from 8.30am. Event organisers will be required to keep the noise associated with this to a minimum. Cars will not be running during the day which will assist in keeping noise levels to an acceptable level. Cars will come in the northern entry and leave from the gate close to the War Memorial Hall, keeping all traffic moving in one direction. Support vehicles will need to be parked offsite – with the most appropriate venue being Harvey Field.

The cars will leave the Civic Centre between 3.45pm and 5.00pm on Sunday 16 November with all activity ceased for the evening by 5.30pm. This again should minimise noise impacts on nearby residents.

During the event there will be no movement of display cars. The event is open to the public between 10.30am and 3.30pm.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Jeanes

THAT Council approve the application to hold the Celebration of the Motorcar event at Cottesloe Civic Centre on Sunday 16 November 2014 from 10.30am to 3.30pm with the following conditions:

- 1. Adequate arrangements are made for rubbish collection and removal, including the provision for recycling.**
- 2. Compliance with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.**
- 3. Compliance with the requirements for sanitary facilities, access and egress, first aid and emergency response as per the *Health (Public Buildings) Regulations 1992*.**
- 4. Class this event as a charitable event and charge no fees.**
- 5. All fees are paid prior to the event, including fees to cover additional costs of cleaning the public toilets and ranger services (if required).**
- 6. Provision of 'certificates of currency' to certify that organisers have adequate public liability and event insurance, to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive Officer, prior to the event.**
- 7. Provision of an 'event management plan' and 'risk assessment document', to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive Officer, prior to the event.**
- 8. That support vehicles are parked at Harvey Field and not in public parking areas.**
- 9. No vehicle activity at the Civic Centre before 8.30am and after 6.00pm on Sunday, 16 November 2014.**
- 10. Neighbouring properties to the Cottesloe Civic Centre are notified of the event taking place, and provided with a mechanism to provide feedback about the event, if required.**

Carried 5/0

10.2 ENGINEERING

10.2.1 REQUEST FOR NATURAL AREAS MANAGEMENT PLAN - REVIEW AND UPDATE

File Ref: SUB/707
Attachments: [Submission from Coastcare PRNRM Officer](#)
[Copy of Agenda Item 26 May 2014](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

In May 2014, Council considered a submission from Cottesloe Coastcare for the Cottesloe Natural Areas Management Plan (NAMP) – 2008 to 2013, to be reviewed and updated and resolved:

THAT Council:

- 1. Fund a review and update of the 2008-2013 Natural Areas Management Plan (NAMP) to cover the period 2014-2019 in the 2014/2015 budget and request staff seek competitive quotes for this.*
- 2. Request Officers bring to Council's attention work considered necessary in the 2014/2015 financial year.*
- 3. Consider an allocation of funds in the 2014/2015 budget to carry out necessary works.*
- 4. Consider a project to improve pedestrian access routes to the beach from the foreshore dual use path in 2014/2015.*

The 2014/2015 Budget was adopted without funding for this review and update being included as the information required was not available. This item presents further information from the Perth Region Natural Resource Management (PRNRM) funded Manager, Coastal and Marine Program, with the request that Council modify its budget to include funds for this work.

BACKGROUND

A consultant was employed by Council in 2008, to develop a management plan for all natural/bush areas in Cottesloe. The majority of that plan applied to the Cottesloe foreshore but also included other areas with remnant native vegetation. This plan was developed with considerable involvement from Cottesloe Coastcare members and Council staff.

A large range of improvements to Cottesloe's natural areas have been completed since 2008. Another five year extension of the plan is requested as are infrastructure improvements to beach access pathways and fencing.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

The NAMP is listed as a strategic document on Council's webpage. Council's Strategic Community Plan 2013 to 2023, under Priority Area Three (Enhancing beach access and the foreshore), includes the Major Strategy: 3.3 Improve dune conservation outside the central foreshore zone (implement NAMP).

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The request is for funding for the Plan review/update in 2014/2015 at an estimated cost of \$18,000 plus GST for the new NAMP.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

The NAMP sets a scale of effort and expected level of funding to be applied to Cottesloe's natural vegetation areas in the future and this will have a significant impact of the local natural environment.

CONSULTATION

The original NAMP was advertised for public consultation and the results were considered for inclusion in the plan. It is assumed that the same public consultation effort would apply if Council resolves to update the plan.

STAFF COMMENT

Cottesloe Coastcare has carried out a large range of improvements to the foreshore area and other sites nearby in the last five years of the first NAMP. With those works undertaken and new issues arising in relation to the protection and improvements of Cottesloe's remaining natural areas a new or updated NAMP is supported.

The detailed submission from the Perth Region NRM Officer covers the extensive progress made by Cottesloe Coastcare through its voluntary work. Apart from the NAMP being the focus and directional plan for Coastcare, it has also been a major reason for achieving the grant income over the years it has applied.

A review and update would maximise the potential to achieve further funding through grant income in future for the benefit of all beach users. It would also lessen the need for Council funding into the care of coastal vegetation areas.

VOTING

Absolute Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Jeanes

THAT Council, by absolute majority:

- 1. Fund a review and update of the 2008 – 2013 Natural Areas Management Plan (NAMP) to cover the period 2014 – 2019 and request staff to seek competitive quotes for this study review and updating; and**
- 2. Amend the budget for the year ended 30 June 2015 an amount of \$20,000 for the audit and update of the Natural Areas Management Plan.**

Carried 5/0

10.2.2 REQUEST TO NAME ROW 55

File Ref: SUB/295
Attachments: [ROW 55 Aerial](#)
[ROW 55 Lot View](#)
[ROW 55 Request to Name](#)
[ROW 55 Copies of Received Comments](#)

Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer

Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014

Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

At its August 2014 meeting, Council considered a request for ROW 55 to be named and resolved:

THAT Council:

- 1. Write to all owners of property abutting ROW 55 advising of the request to name the ROW and inviting comment, including suggested names.*
- 2. Consult with Professor Len Collard of the University of Western Australia to suggest a list of Australian Aboriginal names relevant to Cottesloe.*
- 3. At a future Council meeting consider a progress report on the proposal and whether to proceed with naming the ROW via the Landgate Geographic Names Committee.*

Letters were sent to affected residents requesting name suggestions.

This item deals with the received suggestions and recommends that Council:

1. Resolve to propose to the Landgate Geographic Names Committee that ROW 55 be named _____.
2. Inform the affected property owners of Council's decision on this matter.

BACKGROUND

ROW 55 is a short lane the depth of two lots, perpendicular to and running south off Burt Street close to Stirling Highway. It is abutted by two lots either side and one to the south. It provides sole vehicular access for 505-509 Stirling Highway, which have pedestrian access only from the highway.

A letter of request from three owners advises that since purchasing their properties all have had difficulty in identifying their homes to visitors. Although their addresses are Stirling Highway, due to inadequate frontages and lack of driveways or parking in relation to the highway those entrances are difficult to use.

While they are able to access their homes more safely via the ROW it is unnamed and difficult to identify for guests, trades-people or emergency vehicles.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

New street names must be approved by the Geographic Names Committee.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Cost of new street sign.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

All abutting owners have been contacted and their suggestions for a suitable name requested.

STAFF COMMENT

Property owners were given until 10 October to suggest suitable names for ROW 55.

The two suggestions received are “Murphy” after a former Mayor of Cottesloe, Dr Charles Murphy, or a name in early Cottesloe history who contributed to the growth of Cottesloe.

Another suggestion was “Aroha”, if there was any connection to New Zealand.

From the Cottesloe history book by Ruth Marchant James (Cottesloe – A Town of Distinction) one possible name could be “Septimus Lane” after the first name of the Honourable Septimus Burt, the Attorney General (1890 – 1897) after whom Burt Street is named. This is also the street that ROW 55 connects to at the northern end.

VOTING

Simple Majority

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION

Cr Pyvis expressed disappointment that a list of Indigenous names relevant to Cottesloe was not yet available, despite Council’s Resolution of August 2014 referred to in the report. Cr Pyvis commented that she had been in contact with Indigenous academics who advised that creating a list of relevant names is an interpretive exercise. Manager Corporate and Community Services advised Committee that the

Town has written to Professor Collard, however, the process will take time and requires input from various State and Federal Government departments.

Committee then discussed the suggested names for the ROW with a majority of Councillors confirming a preference for that name Murphy Lane.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council:

1. Resolve to propose to the Landgate Geographic Names Committee that ROW 55 be named _____; and
2. Inform the affected property owners of Council's decision on this matter.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Angers

THAT Council:

1. **Resolve to propose to the Landgate Geographic Names Committee that ROW 55 be named Murphy Lane; and**
2. **Inform the affected property owners of Council's decision on this matter.**

Carried 4/1

10.2.3 REQUEST FOR SEALING A PORTION OF DOSCAS LANE (ROW 32)

File Ref: SUB/272
Attachments: [Plan of Location ROW 32](#)
[Copy of Email Received](#)
[Letter from 58 Forrest Street](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

A request has been received from a resident and user of Doscas Lane (ROW 32) for the sealing of a 23m section of this lane, towards the west end of the lane.

The officer recommendation is that Council:

1. Resolve to consider the provision of \$4,000 for the sealing of the 23m section of Doscas Lane adjacent to 62 Forrest Street in the 2014/2015 budget mid year review; and
2. Inform the applicant of Council's decision on this matter.

BACKGROUND

Doscas Lane (ROW 32) is owned by the Crown and runs in an east/west direction between Broome Street and Marmion Street as well as having three connections north to John Street. The lane is to the rear of properties fronting John Street and Forrest Street.

The lane is sealed from Broome Street to the west boundary of 62 Forrest Street as well as the intersection of the east/west section of the alignment with the central sealed north/south lane connection back to John Street. The section requested for sealing is steep and liable to wash out during heavy rain events.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

No issue.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The estimated cost for the sealing of 23m of this lane is \$4,000. This amount has not been included in the 2014/2015 budget.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Only with the applicant.

STAFF COMMENT

This laneway commences on the east side of Broome Street, rises to a crest then has a steep slope down to a 'T' junction with a spur lane section connecting back to John Street. The section requested for sealing is the majority of the width of 62 Forrest Street.

The rear limestone retaining wall to 62 Forrest Street, on the south side of Doscas Lane, has recently been rebuilt and increased in height. Originally, the top of that wall was only slightly above the unsealed surface of the lane, with potential drainage issues. That problem will no longer apply.

VOTING

Simple Majority

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION

Committee discussed the points raised during Public Statement time, with the Manager Engineering Services reminding Committee that the officer recommendation is to *consider* a provision of funds for the sealing of the laneway and all affected residents would receive letters regarding the works before the laneway was sealed.

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Pyvis, seconded Cr Jeanes

THAT Council:

- 1. Resolve to consider the provision of \$4,000 for the sealing of the 23m section of Doscas Lane adjacent to 62 Forrest Street in the 2014/2015 budget mid year review; and**
- 2. Inform the applicant of Council's decision on this matter.**

Carried 5/0

10.2.4 REQUEST FOR SECTION OF ROW 76B TO BE SEALED

File Ref: SUB/316
Attachments: [Plan of Location ROW 76B](#)
[Copy of Letter Requesting Seal](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

A request has been received for the sealing of a short east/west section of ROW 76B, which connects between Macarthur Street and Sydney Street, Cottesloe.

The recommendation is that Council:

1. Consider, in the 2014/2015 mid year budget review the provision of \$3,000 for the sealing of a 20m section of ROW 76B; and
2. Inform the applicants of Council's decision on this matter.

BACKGROUND

ROW 76B is a narrow sealed section connecting Macarthur Street to Sydney Street plus a dead end spur section heading west approximately half way along the north/south section. This spur is sealed behind numbers 11 and 11A Macarthur Street but the remaining 20m section is sand base. The portion south of this spur was sealed in the 2013/2014 budget year.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

No issue.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The sealing of this section of ROW 76B was not funded in the 2014/2015 budget. The estimated cost of this requested work is \$3,000.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Only with the applicants.

STAFF COMMENT

Council's program for sealing laneways is based on sealing the worst lanes first, based on complaints and requests from residents and ratepayers. This section of ROW 76B has not had the sealing issue brought up with staff before.

The estimated cost of \$3,000 to seal this section is relatively minor but can be considered in the 2014/2015 mid year budget review.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Angers

THAT Council:

1. Consider, in the 2014/2015 mid year budget review the provision of \$3,000 for the sealing of a 20m section of ROW 76B; and
2. Inform the applicants of Council's decision on this matter.

Carried 5/0

10.2.5 REQUEST FOR CAPE LILAC TREE REMOVALS, JARRAD STREET, COTTESLOE

File Ref: SUB/465
Attachments: [Plan of Location](#)
[Copy of Letter and Advice](#)
[Copy of Street Trees Policy](#)
[Copy of Comments from 14 and 16 Jarrad Street](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

A request was previously received from 12 Jarrad Street, Cottesloe, for the removal of three Cape Lilac trees, because of the problem of a severe caterpillar plague generated from these trees each year, which enter adjacent houses.

The recommendation adopted by Council at the September 2014 meeting was:

THAT Council contact the owners of numbers 14 and 16 Jarrad Street to discuss the potential removal of Cape Lilac trees fronting their properties and investigate a trial of trunk banding with polyester, prior to the item being brought back to Council with comments.

Letters were sent to the affected properties. The recommendation is that Council:

1. Resolve to have staff trial trunk banding on the three Cape Lilac trees fronting 14 and 16 Jarrad Street, with these bands being in place during the next caterpillar activity period in 2015; and
2. Inform all affected properties in Jarrad Street of Council's decision on this matter.

BACKGROUND

Over the years, Council staff have removed many Cape Lilac trees from Cottesloe verges due to the major problem of caterpillars, in plague numbers, leaving these trees at this time every year and moving into adjacent houses. The alternative to tree removal is poison spraying, sometimes several times in one season around the same trees. On this section of Jarrad Street verge, one Cape Lilac tree was previously removed and the remaining three trees sprayed around three times.

Of the three trees mentioned in the letter, two front number 14 Jarrad Street and one fronts number 16. As seen in the attached photo, the verge has more verge trees fronting these properties than is normal in Cottesloe.

At its September meeting, Council resolved:

THAT Council contact the owners of numbers 14 and 16 Jarrad Street to discuss the potential removal of Cape Lilac trees fronting their properties and investigate a trial of trunk banding with polyester, prior to the item being brought back to Council with comments.

Letters were sent to the affected properties (numbers 14 and 16 requesting comments).

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Council's Street Trees Policy applies (see attachments).

As mentioned in this policy, one issue is that many verge tree species chosen in the past were poorly chosen and this has provided a variety of problems, including such caterpillar infestations.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

No issue.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Dealing with seasonal caterpillar infestations generated by this tree species can absorb staff time and the use of costly contractor-spraying, Tree removal is estimated as \$2000.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Cape Lilac trees in Western Australia are not an Australian species. Their removal and possible replacement with a locally available species would be more sustainable. The only main alternative of poison spraying is not preferred or sustainable.

CONSULTATION

Letters requesting comments on the choice of removal and replacement or trunk banding were sent to numbers 14 and 16 Jarrad Street.

STAFF COMMENT

Comments have been received, from the owners of 14 and 16 Jarrad Street. The comments received supported the trial of the control measure first, with removal being the last resort.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Jeanes, seconded Cr Rowell

THAT Council:

- 1. Resolve to have staff trial trunk banding on the three Cape Lilac trees fronting 14 and 16 Jarrad Street, with these bands being in place during the next caterpillar activity period in 2015; and**
- 2. Inform all affected properties in Jarrad Street of Council's decision on this matter.**

Carried 5/0

10.3 FINANCE

10.3.1 STATUTORY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD 1 JULY 2014 TO 30 SEPTEMBER 2014

File Ref: SUB/1720
Responsible Officer: Mat Humfrey
Manager Corporate & Community Services
Author: Wayne Richards
Finance Manager
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the Statutory Financial Statements and other supporting financial information to Council for the period 1 July 2014 to 30 September 2014.

BACKGROUND

Nil

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the Local Government Act 1995.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The Statement of Financial Activity on page 1 of the attached Financial Statements shows operating revenue of \$7,957,852 or 92% less than year to date budget. This is due to the delay in the development and sale of Council's former Depot site, all other material variances are detailed in the Variance Analysis Report on pages 7 to 10 of the attached Financial Statements. Operating expenditure is \$490,661 or 16% less than year to date budget with most of this relating to depreciation which is not able to be processed until the 2013/2014 Financial Reports have been finalised. Capital

expenditure \$255,814 or 51% ahead of year to date budget, is detailed on pages 26 to 30 of the attached Financial Statements.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Jeanes

THAT Council receive the Statutory Financial Statements including other financial information as submitted to the 21 October 2014 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

Carried 5/0

**10.3.2 SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS AND LOANS AS AT 30 SEPTEMBER
2014**

File Ref: SUB/1720
Responsible Officer: Mat Humfrey
Manager Corporate & Community Services
Author: Wayne Richards
Finance Manager
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present to Council the Schedule of Investments and the Schedule of Loans as at 30 September 2014, as included in the attached Financial Statements.

BACKGROUND

Nil

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the Local Government Act 1995.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The Schedule of Investments on page 21 of the attached Financial Statements shows that \$6,009,512.48 was invested as at 30 September 2014. Approximately 37% of the funds were invested with National Australia Bank, 24% with the Commonwealth Bank of Australia, 23% with Bankwest, and 16% with Westpac Bank.

The Schedule of Loans on page 22 of the attached Financial Statements shows a balance of \$5,430,413.06 as at 30 September 2014. Included in this balance is \$283,041.35 that relates to self supporting loans.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Jeanes

THAT Council receive the Schedule of Investments and the Schedule of Loans as at 30 September 2014. These schedules are included in the attached Financial Statements as submitted to the meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee on 21 October 2014.

Carried 5/0

10.3.3 LIST OF ACCOUNTS PAID FOR THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER 2014

File Ref: SUB/1720
Responsible Officer: Mat Humfrey
Author: Manager Corporate & Community Services
Wayne Richards
Finance Manager
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present to Council the list of accounts paid for the month of September 2014, as included in the attached Financial Statements.

BACKGROUND

Nil

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The list of accounts paid for the month of September 2014 is included on pages 11 to 17 of the attached Financial Statements. The following significant payments are brought to Council's attention;-

- \$39,576.00 to Subaru Osborne park for a new passenger vehicle.
- \$42,796.91 & \$42,672.11 to Perthwaste Green Recycling for waste collection and disposal charges.
- \$30,232.40 to Shine Community Services being the Town's contribution for the period July to December 2014.
- \$26,087.59 to WMRC for waste disposal charges.

- \$399,980.69 to the Department of Fire and Emergency Services being the Town's first instalment of emergency services levies.
- \$26,180.00 to ID Consulting Pty Ltd for demographic studies and analysis for WESROC.
- \$224,801.73 to WA Treasury Corporation being a loan repayment.
- \$28,859.05 to Cobblestone Concrete for footpath installation.
- \$57,564.42 to Hartland investments Pty Ltd for structural repairs to Seaview Golf Clubrooms.
- \$470,000.00, \$450,000.00 & \$400,000.00 to National Australia Bank being new term deposits.
- \$460,000.00 to Commonwealth Bank being a new term deposit.
- \$480,000.00 to Bankwest being a new term deposit.
- \$400,000.00 to Westpac Bank being a new term deposit.
- \$86,326.44 & 82,440.96 to Town of Cottesloe Staff for fortnightly payroll.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Jeanes

THAT Council receive the list of accounts paid for the month of September 2014 as included in the attached Financial Statements, as submitted to the 21 October 2014 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

Carried 5/0

10.3.4 RATES AND SUNDRY DEBTORS REPORTS AS AT 30 SEPTEMBER 2014

File Ref: SUB/1720
Responsible Officer: Mat Humfrey
Author: Manager Corporate & Community Services
Wayne Richards
Finance Manager
Proposed Meeting Date: 21 October 2014
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present to Council the Rates and Sundry Debtors Reports as at 30 September 2014, as included in the attached Financial Statements.

BACKGROUND

Nil

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The Sundry Debtors Report on pages 23 and 24 of the attached Financial Statements shows a total balance outstanding of \$65,289.89 as at 30 September 2014. Of this amount, \$8,583.86 is under sixty days old with the balance of aged debtors being \$48,528.17.

The Rates and Charges Analysis on page 25 of the attached Financial Statements shows a total balance outstanding of \$3,597,283.51 as at 30 September 2014 of which \$194,998.72 and \$567,319.77 relates to deferred rates and outstanding emergency services levies respectively. The Statement of Financial Position on page 4 of the attached Financial Statements shows total rates outstanding as a current asset of \$3,887,216 as compared to \$3,466,089 this time last year.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Jeanes

THAT Council receive the Rates and Charges Analysis Report and Sundry Debtors Report as at 30 September 2014 as submitted to the 21 October 2014 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

Carried 5/0

11 ELECTED MEMBERS' MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

12 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF MEETING BY:

12.1 ELECTED MEMBERS

Nil

12.2 OFFICERS

Nil

13 MEETING CLOSED TO PUBLIC

13.1 MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED

Nil

13.2 PUBLIC READING OF RECOMMENDATIONS THAT MAY BE MADE PUBLIC

Nil

14 MEETING CLOSURE

The Presiding Member announced the closure of the meeting at 7:35 PM.

CONFIRMED PRESIDING MEMBER _____ DATE:../../...